Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome (HUS) Cases Identified in the 1996 FoodNet Escherichia coli O157:H7 Surveillance

Bender J, Mead P, Voetsch D, Vugia D, Fiorentino T, Koehler J, Shiferaw B, Griffin P

Background: As part of the CDC Emerging Infections Program, active surveillance for *E. coli* O157.:H7 was established in 1995 in five locations; Minnesota (MN), Oregon (OR), and select counties in California (CA), Connecticut (CT), and Georgia (GA). Physician diagnosed cases of HUS were identified arid characterized by symptom history, demographics, and possible risk factors associated with illness.

Results: During 1996, 372 cases of *E. coli* O157:H7 were identified from the five active surveillance sites. The annual incidence rates of reported *E. coli* O157:H7 for the sites were GA-0.6, CA-0.9, CT -2.3, OR-2.5, MN-5.2 cases per 100,000 population. Twenty-six cases of HUS were identified. The annual incidence rates of reported HUS by site were CA-0.04, GA-0.13, OR-0.11, CT-0.18, MN-0.37 cases per 100,000 population. The percentage of diagnosed *E. coli* O157:H7 cases with HUS was OR-4.2%, CA-5.3%, MN-7.0%, CT-7.7%, and GA-23.1%. The median age of *E. coli* O157:H7 cases with and without HUS was 6 and 12 years, respectively (p=0.13). Compared to patients without BUS, those who developed HUS were significantly more likely to experience fever (75% vs. 51 %, p=0.02), vomiting (76% vs. 46%, p<0.05), and have more than 20 bowel movements within a 24-hour period (57% vs. 35%, p=0.04). All HUS cases were hospitalized versus 34% of cases without HUS. No risk factors for the development of HUS were identified. Nine percent of patients who received antibiotic treatment went on to develop HUS versus 8% of patients, who did not receive antibiotics.

Conclusions: There were regional differences in both *E. coli* O157:H7 and HUS incidence among the five sites. These differences may be explained by the regional variability of *E. coli* O157:H7 detection, exposure and completeness of reporting.

<u>Table 1</u>
Incidence Rates of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Infections and Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome Cases by Site.

FoodNet Site	HUS Number (incidence)*	E. <i>coli</i> O157:H7 Number (incidence)*	HUS/ E. <i>coli</i> O157
California	1(0.04)	19(0.9)	5.3%
Connecticut	3(0.18)	39(2.3)	7.7%
Georgia	3(0.13)	13(0.6)	23.1%
Minnesota	16(0.37)	229(5.2)	7.0%
Oregon	3(0.11)	72(2.5)	4.2%
*per 100,00 popul	ation		

Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of *E.coli* O157:H7 Gastroenteritis and *E. coli* O157:H7 HUS Patients, 1996

		HUS (n=26) Number (%)	Gastroenteritis (n≃346) Number (%)
Sex			
	Male	10 (38.5)	152 (43.9)
	Female	15 (57.7)	163 (47.1)
	Unknown	1 (3.8)	31 (9.0)
Race			
	White	21 (84.0)	270 (78.5)
	Black	-	8 (2.3)
	Asian	1 (3.8)	6 (1.7)
	Native American	1 (3.8)	1 (0.3)
	Unknown	3 (11.5)	61 (17.6)
Age (years)		
	<5	8 (30.8)	87 (25.1)
	5-9	7 (26.9)	60 (17.3)
	10 - 14	4 (15.4)	37 (10.7)
	15 - 19	1 (3.8)	27 (7.8)
	20 - 24	-	19 (5.5)
	25 - 34	-	15 (4.3)
	35 - 44	-	21 (6.1)
	45 - 54	1 (3.8)	23 (6.6)
	55 - 64	2 (7.7)	16 (4.6)
	65+	2 (7.7)	28 (8.1)
	Unknown	1 (3.8)	13 (3.8)
Resid	ence		
	Urban or Suburban	20 (76.9)	162 (46.8)
	Rural	1 (3.8)	59 (17.1)
	Unknown/Don't know	5 (19.2)	125 (36.1)

Table 3. Presenting Symptoms and Severity of Illness

No. of lesponses 24	Number (%) 23 (95.8)	No. of Responses	Number (%) 254 (93.7)
-	23 (95.8)	271	254 (02.7)
			25- (93.7)
25	25 (100)	325	299 (92.0)
25	25 (100)	282	251 (89.0)
20	12 (60.0)	228	130 (57.0)
21	12 (57.1)	271	94 (34.7)
25	19 (76.0)	278	128 (46.0)
24	18 (75.0)	259	132 (51.0)
25	25 (100)	271	91 (33.6)
	9 days		4 days
	20 21 25 24	20 12 (60.0) 21 12 (57.1) 25 19 (76.0) 24 18 (75.0) 25 25 (100)	20 12 (60.0) 228 21 12 (57.1) 271 25 19 (76.0) 278 24 18 (75.0) 259 25 25 (100) 271

Suggested citation:

Bender J, Mead P, Voetsch D, Vugia D, Fiorentino T, Koehler J, Shiferaw B, Griffin P. Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome (HUS) Cases Identified in the 1996 FoodNet *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 Surveillance. 1st International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 1998.